arXiv:1604.06534v1 [math-ph] 22 Apr 2016

General properties of overlap operators in disordered quantum spin systems

C. Itoi
Department of Physics, GS & CST, Nihon University,
Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan

April 25, 2016

Abstract

We study short-range quantum spin systems with Gaussian disorder. We obtain quantum mechanical extensions of the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities. We discuss properties of overlap spin operators with these identities.

keywords quenched disorder · spin glass · quantum spin systems · Ghirlanda-Guerra identities

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study short-range quantum spin models with random interactions in d-dimensional cubic lattice $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}^d \cap [1, L]^d$. To define short-range interactions, we construct a collection C_L of interaction ranges in the following way. Let m be an arbitrarily fixed positive integer independent of L and X_k $(k = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$ be a subset of Λ_L which contains $(1, 1, \cdots, 1) \in \Lambda_L$, such that the distance $|i - j|$ between any two sites $i, j \in X_k$ has an upper bound independent of L for each k. We define C_L by the collection of all translated subsets of $X_1, \cdots, X_m$

$$C_L := \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \{X_k + i \subset \Lambda_L | i \in \Lambda_L\}. \tag{1}$$

For example, as C_L we can employ Λ_L itself or nearest neighbor bonds

$$B_L = \{\{i, j\} | i, j \in \Lambda_L, |i - j| = 1\}.$$

The spin operator σ_i^μ $(\mu = x, y, z)$ at a site $i \in \Lambda_L$ acting on the Hilbert space $\bigotimes_{j\in\Lambda_L} \mathcal{H}_j$ is defined by a tensor product of the Pauli matrix σ^μ acting on $\mathcal{H}_i \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ and two dimensional identity matrices. For an arbitrary $X \in C_L$, we denote

$$\sigma_X^\mu = \prod_{i\in X} \sigma_i^\mu.$$

We define a Hamiltonian as a function of spin operators and i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables $(g_X)_{X\in C_L}$

$$H_L(\sigma, g) := -J \sum_{X\in C_L} \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} g_X^\mu \sigma_X^\mu + H_{\rm non}(\sigma), \tag{2}$$

where J is a real constant and $H_{\rm non}(\sigma)$ is an arbitrary non-random short-range Hamiltonian. We assume that $H_{\rm non}(\sigma)$ is defined also by another collection C_L' of interaction ranges as in the same way to define the random Hamiltonian.

Examples
1. Random field Heisenberg model
For $C_L = \Lambda_L$ and the Heisenberg model Hamiltonian $H_{\rm non}(\sigma)$, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_L(\sigma, g) = -J \sum_{i\in\Lambda_L} g_i \sigma_i^\mu - \sum_{X\in B_L} \sum_{\nu=x,y,z} \sigma_X^\nu. \tag{3}$$

2. Random bond Heisenberg model
For $C_L = B_L$ and $H_{\rm non}(\sigma) = \sum_{X\in B_L} \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} J g_0 \sigma_X^\mu$ with a real constant g_0, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_L(\sigma, g) = -J \sum_{X\in B_L} \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} (g_X^\mu + g_0) \sigma_X^\mu. \tag{4}$$

This bond randomness corresponds to a non-centered Gaussian disorder.
3. Other models
The Hamiltonian (2) contains some other physically interesting models, such as Heisenberg model with random next nearer neighbor interactions, and with random plaquette interactions.

For a positive β and a real number J, the partition function is defined by

$$Z_L(\beta, J, g) := {\rm Tr} e^{-\beta H_L(\sigma, g)}, \tag{5}$$

where the trace is taken over the Hilbert space. The expectation of an arbitrary function f of spin operators with respect to the Gibbs measure is denoted by

$$\langle f(\sigma) \rangle := \frac{1}{Z_L(\beta, J, g)} {\rm Tr} f(\sigma) e^{-\beta H_L(\sigma, g)}. \tag{6}$$

We define the following functions of $(\beta, J) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(g_X^\mu)_{X\in C_L, \mu=x,y,z}$

$$\psi_L(\beta, J, g) := \frac{1}{|\Lambda_L|\beta} \log Z_L(\beta, J, g), \tag{7}$$

$-\psi_L(\beta, J, g)|\Lambda_L|$ is called free energy in statistical physics. We define a function $p_L : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$p_L(\beta, J) := \mathbb{E}\psi_L(\beta, J, g), \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ stands for the expectation of the random variables $(g_X^\mu)_{X\in C_L, \mu=x,y,z}$. Here, we introduce a fictitious time $t \in [0, \beta]$ and define a time evolution of operators with the

Hamiltonian. Let O be an arbitrary self adjoint operator, and we define an operator valued function $\hat{O}$ of t by

$$\hat{O}(t) := e^{-tH} O e^{tH}. \tag{9}$$

Furthermore, we define the Duhamel expectation of time depending operators $\hat{O}_1(t_1), \cdots, \hat{O}_k(t_k)$ by

$$(\hat{O}_1 \hat{O}_2 \cdots \hat{O}_k)_{\mathrm{D}} := \int_{[0,\beta]^k} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_k \langle \mathrm{T}[\hat{O}_1(t_1)\hat{O}_2(t_2)\cdots\hat{O}_k(t_k)]\rangle,$$

where the symbol T is a multilinear mapping of the chronological ordering. If we define a partition function with arbitrary self adjoint operators $O_0, O_1, \cdots, O_k$ and real numbers $x_1, \cdots, x_k$

$$Z(x_1, \cdots, x_k) := \mathrm{Tr} \exp \beta \left[O_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i O_i \right],$$

the Duhamel expectation of k operators represents the k-th order derivative of the partition function [10, 18]

$$(\hat{O}_1 \cdots \hat{O}_k)_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial^k Z}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k}.$$

Here, we consider a term of random Hamiltonian per interaction range as a function of a sequence $\sigma^\mu = (\sigma^\mu_X)_{X \in C_L}$ of spin operators and the random variables $(g^\mu_X)_{X \in C_L}$ with an arbitrarily fixed index μ by

$$h_L(\sigma^\mu, g^\mu) := \frac{1}{|C_L|} \sum_{X \in C_L} g^\mu_X \sigma^\mu_X.$$

We denote its time evolution by

$$\hat{h}^\mu_L(t) := h_L(\hat{\sigma}^\mu(t), g^\mu).$$

The covariance of these operators with the expectation in g

$$\mathbb{E}(h_L(\sigma^\mu_a, g^\mu) h_L(\sigma^\mu_b, g^\mu)) = |C_L|^{-1} R(\sigma^\mu_a, \sigma^\mu_b) \tag{10}$$

where the overlap $R(\sigma^\mu_a, \sigma^\mu_b)$ is defined by

$$R(\sigma^\mu_a, \sigma^\mu_b) := \frac{1}{|C_L|} \sum_{X \in C_L} \sigma^\mu_{X,a} \sigma^\mu_{X,b}.$$

For example, in the random field Heisenberg model, this becomes the site overlap operator

$$R(\sigma^\mu_a, \sigma^\mu_b) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda_L|} \sum_{i \in \Lambda_L} \sigma^\mu_{i,a} \sigma^\mu_{i,b}.$$

In the random bond Heisenberg model, it becomes the bond overlap operator

$$R(\sigma^\mu_a, \sigma^\mu_b) = \frac{1}{|B_L|} \sum_{X \in B_L} \sigma^\mu_{X,a} \sigma^\mu_{X,b} = \frac{1}{|B_L|} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in B_L} \sigma^\mu_{i,a} \sigma^\mu_{j,a} \sigma^\mu_{i,b} \sigma^\mu_{j,b}.$$

In the short-range spin glass models, the bond overlap is independent of the site overlap unlike the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model [19], where the bond-overlap is identical to the square of the site-overlap. We denote its time evolution with $(t_a, t_b) \in [0, \beta]^2$

$$\hat{R}^\mu_{a,b} := R_{a,b}(\hat{\sigma}^\mu_a(t_a), \hat{\sigma}^\mu_b(t_b))$$

Let f be a polynomial of $x_1, \cdots, x_n$

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_n} C(i_1, \cdots, i_n) x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n},$$

and we define its Duhamel expectation of n-replicated time depending spin operators by preserving its multilinear property

$$(\hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} := (f(\hat{\sigma}^{\mu_1}_{i_1,a_1}, \cdots, \hat{\sigma}^{\mu_n}_{i_n,a_n}))_{\mathrm{D}} = \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_n} C(i_1, \cdots, i_n) (\hat{\sigma}^{\mu_1}_{i_1,a_1} \cdots \hat{\sigma}^{\mu_n}_{i_n,a_n})_{\mathrm{D}}.$$

The overlap is a polynomial of two replicated time depending spin operators. The Duhamel expectation of the overlap for two different replicas is identical to its normal expectation by the Gibbs measure

$$(\hat{R}^{\mu}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{|C_L|} \sum_{X \in C_L} (\hat{\sigma}^{\mu}_{X,1} \hat{\sigma}^{\mu}_{X,2})_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{|C_L|} \sum_{X \in C_L} \langle \sigma^{\mu}_{X,1} \sigma^{\mu}_{X,2} \rangle = \langle R^{\mu}_{1,2} \rangle.$$

Hereafter, we consider $\hat{h}^{\mu}_L$ and $\hat{R}^{\mu}_{a,b}$ with an arbitrarily fixed index μ, then $\hat{h}_L$ and $\hat{R}_{a,b}$ denote them without the index μ for simpler notation.

In this paper, we prove two main theorems with respect to the overlap operators.

Theorem 1.1 *Let n be a positive integer and let f be a bounded polynomial function of n replicated time depending spin operators $\hat{\sigma}^{\mu}_{i,a}(t_a)$. For any $(\beta, J) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{a=1}^{n} ((\hat{R}_{1,a} - \hat{R}_{1,n+1} - \hat{R}_{a,n+1}) \hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} + ((n+1)\hat{R}_{1,2} - \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} (\hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} \right] = 0. \tag{11}$$

Theorem 1.2 *Let n be a positive integer and let f be a bounded polynomial function of n replicated time depending spin operators $\hat{\sigma}^{\mu}_{i,a}(t_a)$. There is a mesure zero set $A \subset [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, such that for any $(\beta, J) \notin A$ we have*

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \left[\sum_{a=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}((\hat{R}_{1,a} - \hat{R}_{1,n+1}) \hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} + \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,2} - \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} \mathbb{E}(\hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} \right] = 0. \tag{12}$$

Theorem 1.1 gives quantum mechanical extensions of identities obtained by Contucci and Giardinà [5, 6, 7], and Theorem 1.2 gives those of the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities [13]. In classical disordered Ising spin systems, the overlap $R^z_{a,b}$ satisfies the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities which are valid universally [13, 21]. Sometimes, these identities are called Aizenman-Contucci identities [1, 7]. The Ghirlanda-Guerra identities are useful to understand properties of the random variables $R_{a,b}$. In classical mean field spin glass models such as the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model [19] and mixed p-spin models, it is well-known that the distribution of the overlap shows broadening for sufficiently large β. This phenomenon is called replica symmetry breaking, conjectured by Parisi [17] and proved by Talagrand [20, 21] for the SK model. The replica symmetry breaking is observed generally in mean field spin glass models [15]. Originally, the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities were obtained to understand the ultrametricity of replicated spin configurations in mean field

spin glass models. This has been proved by Panchenko using the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities [16]. On the other hand, recently, Chatterjee has proved that the random variable $R_{a,b}$ is single valued in the random field Ising model in any dimensions at any temperature [2]. This result implies that the replica symmetry breaking does not occur in that model. The proof is constructed by utilising the Fortuin-Kasteleyn-Ginibre inequality [12] and the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities. Quantum mechanical extensions of these identities are expected to be useful to study the properties of overlap operators in quantum spin glass models as well. We study general properties of the expectation of overlap operators with these identities. There are several approaches to obtain the Ghirlanda-Guerra identities [1, 2, 3, 7, 13, 15, 21]. Here, we employ a similar approach to Chatterjee's method [2, 3].

2 Proof

Lemma 2.1 *For every $(\beta, J) \in [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, the following limit exists*

$$p(\beta, J) = \lim_{L\to\infty} p_L(\beta, J).$$

Proof. This is proved by a standard argument based on the decomposition of the lattice into disjoint blocks [8, 9, 14]. Fix $(\beta, J) \in [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, and let L, M be positive integers and denote $N = LM$, then we divide the lattice Λ_N into M^d disjoint translated blocks of Λ_L. Define a new Hamiltonian H on Λ_N by deleting interaction ranges in $C_{\rm del}$ near the boundaries of blocks from C_L and C'_L, such that M^d spin systems on the blocks have no interaction with each other. The original Hamiltonian H_N has the following two terms

$$H_N = H + H_{\rm del},$$

where $H_{\rm del}$ consists of the terms of interaction ranges in $C_{\rm del}$. We consider the following function of x defined by

$$\varphi_N(x) := \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|\beta}\mathbb{E}\log \mathrm{Tr}\exp[-\beta(H + xH_{\rm del})].$$

Not that $\varphi_N(1) = p_N(\beta, J)$ and $\varphi_N(0) = p_L(\beta, J)$. The derivative functions of φ_N are given by

$$\varphi'_N(x) = -\frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|}\mathbb{E}\langle H_{\rm del}\rangle_x, \quad \varphi''_N(x) = \frac{\beta}{|\Lambda_N|}\mathbb{E}(\delta\hat{H}_{\rm del}\delta\hat{H}_{\rm del})_{{\rm D},x} \geq 0,$$

where $\langle A\rangle_x$ and $(AB)_{{\rm D},x}$ are the Gibbs and the Duhamel expectations respectively with the Hamiltonian $H + xH_{\rm del}$ for operators A and B, and $\delta\hat{H}_{\rm del} = \hat{H}_{\rm del} - \langle H_{\rm del}\rangle_x$. The second inequality gives

$$\varphi_N(1) \geq \varphi_N(0) + \varphi'_N(0) = \varphi_N(0) - \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|}\mathbb{E}\langle H_{\rm del}\rangle_0.$$

In the same argument for $\varphi_N(1-x)$, we obtain

$$\varphi_N(0) \geq \varphi_N(1) - \varphi'_N(1) = \varphi_N(1) + \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|}\mathbb{E}\langle H_{\rm del}\rangle_1$$

Therefore

$$p_L(\beta, J) - \frac{1}{N^d}\mathbb{E}\langle H_{\mathrm{del}}\rangle_0 \leq p_N(\beta, J) \leq p_L(\beta, J) - \frac{1}{N^d}\mathbb{E}\langle H_{\mathrm{del}}\rangle_1$$

Since the spin operator is bounded, the expectation of H_{del} is bounded by the number of interactions $|C_{\mathrm{del}}|$. Therefore, there exist positive numbers K_1 and K independent of L and N, such that the function p_N and p_L obey

$$|p_N - p_L| \leq \frac{K_1|C_{\mathrm{del}}|}{N^d} \leq \frac{Kd}{L}.$$

In the same argument for M instead of L, we have

$$|p_N - p_M| \leq \frac{K'd}{M},$$

and therefore

$$|p_L - p_M| \leq |p_N - p_L| + |p_M - p_N| \leq \frac{Kd}{L} + \frac{K'd}{M},$$

The sequence p_L is Cauchy. □

Note Functions p, ψ, p_L and ψ_L are convex functions of each argument for other arbitrarily fixed arguments, since every second order derivative in each argument is the corresponding Duhamel expectation between the same operators, which is nonnegative.

We define a set A by a set of $(\beta, J) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ where p is not differentiable.

Note The set A is measure zero, since the function p is convex in each argument for every fixed another argument.

Hereafter, we concern about dependence of ψ_L only on $(g^\mu_X)_{X\in C_L}$ with the fixed μ, then we use a lighter notation $\psi_L(g^\mu) = \psi_L(\beta, J, g)$. We define a generating function $\gamma_L(u)$ of a parameter $u \in [0, 1]$ by

$$\gamma_L(u) := |\Lambda_L|\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}_1\psi_L(\sqrt{u}g^\mu + \sqrt{1-u}g^\mu_1))^2, \tag{13}$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the expectation in all random variables, and $\mathbb{E}_1$ denotes the expectation in only g^μ_1. This generating function γ_L is introduced by Chatterjee [4].

Lemma 2.2 *For any $(\beta, J) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, any positive integer L and any $u \in [0, 1]$, each order derivative of the function γ_L is represented by*

$$\gamma_L^{(n)}(u) \;=\; |\Lambda_L|\beta^n J^n \sum_{X_1\in C_L} \cdots \sum_{X_n\in C_L} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}_1\psi_{L,X_n,\cdots,X_1}(\sqrt{u}g^\mu + \sqrt{1-u}g^\mu_1)\right)^2, \tag{14}$$

where we denote

$$\psi_{L,X_n,\cdots,X_1}(g^\mu) := \frac{\partial^n\psi_L(g^\mu)}{\partial g^\mu_{X_1}\cdots\partial g^\mu_{X_n}}.$$

There exists a positive constant K, such that for any positive integer n and for any $u_0 \in [0, 1)$, an upper bound on the n-th order derivative of the function γ_L is given by

$$\gamma_L^{(n)}(u_0) \leq \frac{(n-1)!KJ^2}{(1-u_0)^{n-1}}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation of derivatives and integration by parts in the Gaussian integral yield the identity. The non-negativity of the derivatives in an arbitrary order guarantees that $\gamma_L^{(n)}(u)$ is monotonically increasing in u. Also note that $\gamma_L^{(n)}(u)$ is bounded, as far as the system size L is finite. The first derivative of γ_L is bounded by

$$\gamma_L'(1) = |\Lambda_L| \sum_{X \in C_L} \mathbb{E}\left(\psi_{L,X}(g^\mu)\right)^2 = \frac{J^2}{|\Lambda_L|} \sum_{X \in C_L} \mathbb{E}\langle \sigma_X^\mu \rangle^2 \leq KJ^2,$$

where $K > 0$ is a constant independent of L. We have used $E\langle \sigma_X^\mu \rangle^2 \leq 1$. The function $\gamma_L(u)$ is continuously differentiable any times in t for finite L. From Taylor's theorem, for any positive β, J, any integer $n \geq 1$ and any $u_0 \in [0,1)$, there exists $u_1 \in (u_0, 1)$ such that

$$\gamma_L'(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1-u_0)^k}{k!} \gamma_L^{(k+1)}(u_0) + \frac{(1-u_0)^n}{n!} \gamma_L^{(n+1)}(u_1).$$

For any $u_0 \in [0,1)$, each term in right hand side is bounded by $\gamma_L'(1)$, and therefore

$$\frac{(1-u_0)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \gamma_L^{(n)}(u_0) \leq \gamma_L'(1) \leq KJ^2.$$

This completes the proof. □

Note. To evaluate the variance of the function $\psi_L(\beta, J, g)$, we define another generating function for all random variables $(g_X^\mu)_{X, C_L, \mu=x,y,z}$

$$\chi_L(u) := |\Lambda_L| \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}_1 \psi_L(\beta, J, \sqrt{u}g + \sqrt{1-u}g_1))^2. \tag{16}$$

The same result as Lemma 2.2 also for $\chi_L(u)$ gives the following upper bound on the variance of the function ψ_L is obtained

$$|\Lambda_L| Var(\psi_L) = \chi_L(1) - \chi_L(0) = \int_0^1 du \chi_L'(u) \leq \chi_L'(1) \leq 3KJ^2. \tag{17}$$

The similar result is obtained in [10].

Here, we define two types of deviations of an arbitrary operator $\hat{O}$ by

$$\delta\hat{O} := \hat{O} - (\hat{O})_{\mathrm{D}}, \quad \Delta\hat{O} := \hat{O} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{O})_{\mathrm{D}}.$$

We prove the following two lemmas for these deviations of $\hat{h}_L$.

Lemma 2.3 *For any $(\beta, J) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(\delta\hat{h}_L \delta\hat{h}_L)_{\mathrm{D}} = 0. \tag{18}$$

Proof. We calculate the following Duhamel expectation of $\delta\hat{h}_L = \hat{h}_L - \langle h_L \rangle$ with integration by parts, then we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(\delta\hat{h}_L\delta\hat{h}_L)_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{|C_L|^2}\mathbb{E}\sum_{X,Y\in C_L} g_X^\mu g_Y^\mu (\delta\hat{\sigma}_X^\mu \delta\hat{\sigma}_Y^\mu)_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{|C_L|^2}\sum_{X,Y\in C_L}\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial g_X \partial g_Y} + \delta_{X,Y}\right)(\delta\hat{\sigma}_X^\mu\delta\hat{\sigma}_Y^\mu)_{\mathrm{D}} \\
&= \frac{|\Lambda_L|}{|C_L|^2\beta J^2}\left(\sum_{X,Y,Z,W\in\Lambda_L}\delta_{X,Z}\delta_{Y,W}\mathbb{E}\psi_{L,W,Z,Y,X}(g) + \sum_{X,Y\in C_L}\delta_{X,Y}\mathbb{E}\psi_{L,Y,X}(g)\right) \\
&\le \frac{|\Lambda_L|}{|C_L|^2\beta J^2}\Big(\sqrt{\sum_{X,Y,Z,W\in C_L}\left(\mathbb{E}\psi_{L,W,Z,Y,X}(g)\right)^2\sum_{X,Y,Z,W\in C_L}(\delta_{X,Z}\delta_{Y,W})^2} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sqrt{\sum_{X,Y\in C_L}\left(\mathbb{E}\psi_{L,Y,X}(g)\right)^2\sum_{X,Y\in C_L}\delta_{X,Y}^2}\Big) \\
&= \frac{|\Lambda_L|}{|C_L|^2\beta J^2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{|C_L|^2\gamma_L^{(4)}(0)}{|\Lambda_L|\beta^4J^4}} + \sqrt{\frac{|C_L|\gamma_L^{(2)}(0)}{|\Lambda_L|\beta^2J^2}}\right) \le \frac{\sqrt{K}}{\beta^2J^2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{6|\Lambda_L|}{\beta J|C_L|^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_L|}{|C_L|^3}}\right). \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have $C|\Lambda_L| \le |C_L| \le C'|\Lambda_L|$ for some C, C' independent of L, this gives the limit (18). □

Lemma 2.4 *For any $(\beta, J) \notin A$, we have*

$$\lim_{L\to\infty}\mathbb{E}(\Delta\hat{h}_L\Delta\hat{h}_L)_{\mathrm{D}} = 0. \qquad (20)$$

Proof. Note the relations

$$\langle h_L\rangle = \frac{\partial\psi_L}{\partial J}, \qquad \mathbb{E}\langle h_L\rangle = \frac{\partial p_L}{\partial J}.$$

For an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, the convexity of ψ_L implies

$$\frac{\psi_L(J) - \psi_L(J-\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \le \frac{\partial\psi_L}{\partial J}(J) \le \frac{\psi_L(J+\epsilon) - \psi_L(J)}{\epsilon}.$$

Here we use an indicator projection I defined by $I[true] = 1$ and $I[false] = 0$. For $(\beta, J) \notin A$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the convexity of ψ_L implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\Big(\langle h_L\rangle - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\Big)^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E}\Big(\left(\frac{\partial\psi_L}{\partial J} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\right)^2\left(I\left[\frac{\partial\psi_L}{\partial J} \ge \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\right] + I\left[\frac{\partial\psi_L}{\partial J} < \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\right]\right)\Big) \\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left(\Big(\frac{\psi_L(J+\epsilon) - \psi_L(h)}{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\Big)^2 I\left[\frac{\partial\psi_L}{\partial J} \ge \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\right]\right) \\
&\qquad + \mathbb{E}\left(\Big(\frac{\psi_L(J) - \psi_L(J-\epsilon)}{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\Big)^2 I\left[\frac{\partial\psi_L}{\partial J} < \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\right]\right) \\
&\le \mathbb{E}\Big(\frac{\psi_L(J+\epsilon) - \psi_L(J)}{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\Big)^2 + \mathbb{E}\Big(\frac{\psi_L(J) - \psi_L(J-\epsilon)}{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}\Big)^2, \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the inequality (17) gives $\mathbb{E}(\psi_L - p_L)^2 \le \frac{3J^2}{|\Lambda_L|}$, which yields the following bound

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\frac{\psi_L(J+\epsilon)-\psi_L(J)}{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}(J)\Big)^2$$
$$\le \frac{3(2|J|+\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon^2|\Lambda_L|} + \Big(\frac{p_L(J+\epsilon)-p(J+\epsilon)}{\epsilon} - \frac{p_L(J)-p(J)}{\epsilon} + \frac{p(J+\epsilon)-p(J)}{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}(J)\Big)^2.$$

In the limit $L\to\infty$, Lemma 2.1 implies

$$\lim_{L\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\Big(\langle h_L\rangle - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}(J)\Big)^2 \le \Big(\frac{p(J+\epsilon)-p(J)}{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}(J)\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{p(J)-p(J-\epsilon)}{\epsilon} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}(J)\Big)^2$$

Since this bound holds for any $\epsilon>0$ and $p(J)$ is differentiable at J, we have

$$\lim_{L\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\Big(\langle h_L\rangle - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}(J)\Big)^2 = 0$$

The above and the identity (18) yield the identity (20), since

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta\hat{h}_L\Delta\hat{h}_L)_{\rm D} = \mathbb{E}(\delta\hat{h}_L\delta\hat{h}_L)_{\rm D} + \mathbb{E}\Big(\langle h_L\rangle - \frac{\partial p}{\partial J}(J)\Big)^2 - \Big(\frac{\partial p}{\partial J}(J) - \mathbb{E}\langle h_L\rangle\Big)^2.$$

□

Here, we prove the main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In the following expectation of the energy density, the integration by parts gives

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{h}_L\hat{f})_{\rm D} = \frac{1}{|C_L|}\sum_{X\in C_L}\mathbb{E}g_X^\mu(\hat{\sigma}_X^\mu\hat{f})_{\rm D} = \frac{1}{|C_L|}\sum_{X\in C_L}\mathbb{E}\frac{\partial}{\partial g_X^\mu}(\hat{\sigma}_X^\mu\hat{f})_{\rm D} = \beta J\sum_{a=1}^n\mathbb{E}((\hat{R}_{1,a}-\hat{R}_{1,n+1})\hat{f})_{\rm D}, \tag{22}$$

and also we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\langle h_L\rangle(\hat{f})_{\rm D} &= \frac{1}{|C_L|}\sum_{X\in C_L}\mathbb{E}g_X^\mu\langle\sigma_X^\mu\rangle(\hat{f})_{\rm D} = \frac{1}{|C_L|}\sum_{X\in C_L}\mathbb{E}\frac{\partial}{\partial g_X^\mu}\langle\sigma_X^\mu\rangle(\hat{f})_{\rm D}\\ &= \frac{\beta J}{|C_L|}\sum_{X\in C_L}\mathbb{E}[((\hat{\sigma}_X^\mu\hat{\sigma}_X^\mu)_{\rm D} - \langle\sigma_X^\mu\rangle^2)(\hat{f})_{\rm D} + \langle\sigma_X^\mu\rangle\sum_{a=1}^n((\hat{\sigma}_{X,a}^\mu\hat{f})_{\rm D} - \langle\sigma_X^\mu\rangle(\hat{f})_{\rm D})]\\ &= \beta J\mathbb{E}[((R_{1,1})_{\rm D} - (n+1)\langle R_{1,2}\rangle)(\hat{f})_{\rm D} + \sum_{a=1}^n(\hat{R}_{a,n+1}\hat{f})_{\rm D}]. \end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta\hat{h}_L\hat{f})_{\rm D} = \beta J\left[\sum_{a=1}^n(\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,a}\hat{f})_{\rm D} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,n+1}\hat{f})_{\rm D} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{a,n+1}\hat{f})_{\rm D}) - \mathbb{E}[(\hat{R}_{1,1} - (n+1)\hat{R}_{1,2})_{\rm D}(\hat{f})_{\rm D}]\right]$$

The absolute value of the left hand side is bounded by

$$|\mathbb{E}(\delta\hat{h}_L\hat{f})_{\rm D}| \le \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\delta\hat{h}_L\delta\hat{h}_L)_{\rm D}\mathbb{E}(\hat{f}\hat{f})_{\rm D}}.$$

This and Lemma 2.3 give the identity (11). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Under the condition of Lemma 2.4, we show the identity (12). First we substitute $f = 1$ into the identity (22), then we have

$$\mathbb{E}\langle h_L \rangle = \frac{\beta J}{|C_L|} \sum_{X \in C_L} \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\sigma}_X^\mu \hat{\sigma}_X^\mu)_{\mathrm{D}} - \langle \sigma_X^\mu \rangle^2] = \beta J \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1} - \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}}. \tag{24}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta \hat{h}_L \hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} = \beta J \left[\sum_{a=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,a} \hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} - n \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,n+1} \hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1} - \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} \mathbb{E}(\hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}} \right].$$

The absolute value of the left hand side is bounded by

$$|\mathbb{E}(\Delta \hat{h}_L \hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}}| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\Delta \hat{h}_L \Delta \hat{h}_L)_{\mathrm{D}} \mathbb{E}(\hat{f} \hat{f})_{\mathrm{D}}}$$

By the limit (20) in Lemma 2.4, this converges to zero, then this completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

3 Discussions

Here we discuss general properties of overlap operators with the obtained identities. The identity (11) in Theorem 1.1 for $n = 1$ and $\hat{f} = \hat{R}_{1,1}$ gives

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} [\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1} \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}}^2 - 2\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,2} \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} + 2\mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle (\hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}}] = 0.$$

The identities (12) in Theorem 1.2 for $n = 1$, $\hat{f} = \hat{R}_{1,1}$, for $n = 2$, $\hat{f} = \hat{R}_{1,2}$ and for $n = 3$, $\hat{f} = \hat{R}_{2,3}$ are

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} [\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1} \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} - (\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}})^2 - \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,2} \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} + \mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}}] = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} [\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1} \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} + \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,2} \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} - 2\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,3} \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} - (\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} - \mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle) \mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle] = 0,$$

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} [\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1} \hat{R}_{2,3})_{\mathrm{D}} + 2\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,2} \hat{R}_{1,3})_{\mathrm{D}} - 3\mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle^2 - (\mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} - \mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle) \mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle] = 0,$$

The above four identities give us

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,2} \delta \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,1} \delta \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}}, \tag{26}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta \hat{R}_{1,2} \Delta \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} = \mathbb{E}(\Delta \hat{R}_{1,1} \Delta \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}}, \tag{27}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,3} \delta \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,2} \delta \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,1} \delta \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} \tag{28}$$

$$3\mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}(\hat{R}_{1,2} \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} - 2(\mathbb{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle)^2 = \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,1} \delta \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}} + 2\mathbb{E}(\Delta \hat{R}_{1,1})_{\mathrm{D}}^2, \tag{29}$$

in the limit $L \to \infty$. Note that all are nonnegative. The third identity gives

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,3} \delta \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} \geq \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,2} \delta \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}}. \tag{30}$$

The final one gives some inequalities

$$0 \leq \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{E}(\delta \hat{R}_{1,2} \delta \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} \leq \lim_{L \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(\Delta \hat{R}_{1,2} \Delta \hat{R}_{1,2})_{\mathrm{D}} \leq \lim_{L \to \infty} 3\mathbb{E}\langle \Delta R_{1,2} \rangle^2. \tag{31}$$

These inequalities become equalities in the classical limit, since $\hat{R}_{1,1} = 1$ because of the commutativity between spin operators and the Hamiltonian.

References

[1] Aizenman, M., Contucci, P. : On the stability of quenched state in mean-field spin glass models. J. Stat. Phys. **92**, 765-783(1997)

[2] Chatterjee, S. : Absence of replica symmetry breaking in the random field Ising model. Commun. Math .Phys. **337**, 93-102(2015)

[3] Chatterjee,S.: The Ghirlanda-Guerra identities without averaging. preprint, arXiv:0911.4520 (2009).

[4] Chatterjee, S. : Disorder chaos and multiple valleys in spin glasses. preprint, arXiv:0907.3381 (2009).

[5] Contucci, P., Giardinà, C. : Spin-glass stochastic stability: A rigorous proof. Annales Henri Poincare, **6**, 915-923, (2005)

[6] Contucci, P., Giardinà, C. : The Ghirlanda-Guerra identities. J. Stat. Phys. **126**, 917-931,(2007).

[7] Contucci, P., Giardinà, C. : Perspectives on spin glasses. Cambridge university press, 2012.

[8] Contucci, P., Giardinà, C., Pulé, J. : The thermodynamic limit for finite dimensional classical and quantum disordered systems. Rev. Math. Phys. **16**, 629-638, (2004)

[9] Contucci, P., Lebowitz, J. L. : Correlation inequalities for quantum spin systems with quenched centered disorder. J. Math. Phys. **51**, 023302-1 -6 (2010)

[10] Crawford, N. : Thermodynamics and universality for mean field quantum spin glasses. Commun. Math. Phys. **274**, 821-839(2007)

[11] Edwards,S. F., Anderson, P. W. : Theory of spin glasses J. Phys. F: Metal Phys. **5**, 965-974(1975)

[12] Fortuin,C. M., Kasteleyn P. W., Ginibre, J.: Correlation inequalities on some partially ordered sets.Commun. Math. Phys. **22**, 89-103(1971).

[13] Ghirlanda, S., Guerra, F. : General properties of overlap probability distributions in disordered spin systems. Towards Parisi ultrametricity. J. Phys. A**31**, 9149-9155(1998)

[14] Griffiths, R. B. : A proof that the free energy of a spin system is extensive. J. Math. Phys. **5**, 1215-1222, (1964)

[15] Panchenko, D. : The Ghirlanda-Guerra identities for mixed p-spin glass model. Compt. Read. Math. **348**, 189-192(2010).

[16] Panchenko, D. : The Parisi ultrametricity conjecture. Ann. Math. **177**, 383-393, (2013)

[17] Parisi, G. :A sequence of approximate solutions to the S-K model for spin glasses. J. Phys. A **13**, L-115 (1980)

[18] Seiler, E., Simon, B. : Nelson's symmetry and all that in Yukawa and $(\phi^4)_3$ theories. Ann. Phys. **97**, 470-518, (1976)

[19] Sherrington, S., Kirkpatrick, S : Solvable model of spin glass. Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1792-1796, (1975).

[20] Talagrand, M. : The Parisi formula. Ann. Math. **163**, 221-263 (2006).

[21] Talagrand,,M. : Mean field models for spin glasses. Springer, Berlin (2011).